

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,107	08/23/2001	Preston Cutright	EL-8165	9311
7:	590 02/22/2005	EXAMINER		
Crowell & Moring, LLP		TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
Intellectual Property Group			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300			1714	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/935,107	CUTRIGHT ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cephia D. Toomer	1714		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR le reply must be filed within one of te of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time pen in the final rejection, wh	ication in st for Continu ods: ichever is later.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fe ce action; or (2)
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. \(\subseteq \text{For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)} how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5,9 and 12-14.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary a
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
National Procession of the See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	۱٥(s)	
13. ☐ Other:	(Gephia D. Toomer Prinary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	200m

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are still obvious over the prior at. Derrick teaches polysaccharides and copolymers of acrylate and acrylamide. Applicant has not shown that any unexpected results are obtained with the claimed polysaccharides (molecular weight of less than 500,000) employed in the claimed proportions (0.5-8 wt%). The examiner maintains that the molecular weight of the polysaccharides and the claimed proportions are close enough to those taught by Derrick that one skilled in the art would expect the products of the present invention and Derrick to have the same properties, absent evidence to the contrary.